

REMARKS

In response to the examiner's objection to the specification, Applicant has made some modifications (but no new matter was added) and these modifications overcome the examiner's objections. Applicant notes that 37 CFR 1.77(b) is permissive and does not require the sections noted in the office action.

In response to the double patenting rejection, Applicant has amended the claim to overcome the double patenting rejection.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that Claim 4 is allowable over the prior art cited by the Examiner and early allowance of these claims and the application is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to call Applicant's attorney at the number below in order to speed the prosecution of this application.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiencies in fees and credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account No. 07-1896.

Respectfully submitted,

DLA PIPER US LLP

Dated: February 6, 2008

By /Timothy W. Lohse

Timothy W. Lohse
Reg. No. 35,255
Attorney for Applicant

DLA PIPER US LLP
2000 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (650) 833-2055